

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,850	12/03/2003	Scott Bumbarger	12163N/022061	8308	
32885 7	590 · 06/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STITES & HARBISON PLLC 424 CHURCH STREET			PATEL, TAJASH D		
SUITE 1800	SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NASHVILLE,	TN 37219-2376		3765	3765	
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			SV
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>-0</i> ·
Charles 1	10/729,850	BUMBARGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tejash D. Patel	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under M 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 15-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-25 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 26-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFI	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🖂 Intonious Sum	(PTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/6/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/729,850 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 13, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-25 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bumbarger et al. (US 6,371,977) in view of Creagan et al. (US 6,473,910). Bumbarger et al. (hereinafter Bumbarger) discloses a evaporative cooling device/garment being made of a multilayered liquid retaining composite material having hydrophilic polymer fibers, col. 8, lines 60-65. Further, the composite material includes a retainer layer and a conductive layer which is in communication with a filler/batting layer as shown in figure 1. Also, the composite material can hold at least 2.5 time weight in water, col. 8, lines 60-62. However, Bumbarger does not show the garment having a bladder holding liquid.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,850 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Creagan et al. (hereinafter Creagan) discloses a cooling garment made of a composite

material that has a bladder holding liquid, col. 6, lines 21-23 and as shown in figure 4.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

provide the garment of Bumbarger with a bladder holding liquid as taught by Creagan as an

alternative but equivalent means of keeping the wearer cool as known in the art.

With regard to claims 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, and 25, it would have been obvious that the

garment of Bumbarger when viewed with Creagan can include hydrophilic fibers made of any

desired structured material which can absorb the required amount of liquid depending on the end

use thereof.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 26-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

June 7, 2006

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER